35

36

37

44

Introduction

- 38 OHV use related to recreation, hunting, and fishing has increased significantly in the Moose Range and
- 39 JPUA in recent years. As a result, numerous trails have been created and areas that previously received
- 40 little or no motorized use are now seeing frequent use. Some of these trails have been developed in
- 41 sensitive fish and wildlife habitat areas. Others have been developed in wetlands, where repeated use
- 42 results in degradation of the soil and development of multiple routes. DNR seeks to address trails impacts
- 43 to wetlands and sensitive habitat through a comprehensive Trail Management Plan (TMP).

Trail Management Plan

- 45 The TMP will address existing and newly developed trails in the Moose Range and JPUA. The TMP will
- 46 provide an inventory of all trails to be managed by DNR and provide an assessment of trail conditions and
- 47 their impacts to fish and wildlife habitat. The assessment will identify trails that have significant impacts
- on fish and wildlife habitat, particularly waterfowl nesting areas, trumpeter swan or loon nesting areas,
- 49 moose calving concentration areas, and fish spawning areas. Trails in wetland areas will be assessed to
- 50 determine if they are having significant impacts on soil and hydrology. Trails impacting other state
- 51 resources will also be assessed.
- 52 Based on those assessments, existing trails determined to have significant negative impacts on fish and
- 53 wildlife habitat, or other state resources, may be closed, be re-routed, or face seasonal restrictions. These
- 54 actions are consistent with the statutory mandate to protect fish and wildlife habitat so traditional use of
- 55 the fish and wildlife populations can continue.
- 56 Through the TMP, DNR will identify potential new trails (non-motorized and motorized) for development.
- 57 DNR may also identify existing trails that can be developed to a higher standard or expanded. Trails may
- 58 also be identified for reservation as public easements for specific purposes. These actions are consistent
- 59 with the statutory mandates to maintain and enhance recreation and to provide for a full spectrum of
- 60 recreational opportunities.
- 61 The TMP will not address motorized and non-motorized uses that are allowed by regulation off of trails.
- 62 Examples of this type of use include game retrieval, recreational use off existing trails, and recreation off
- trails when snow and frost conditions permit. In general the impacts associated with this type of use do
- not persist from year to year, and are minor in nature.
- These uses are subject to regulations at 11AAC 96.015(c). Trails associated with these uses will not be
- 66 classified through this process.

- 67 DNR may accept and adjudicate applications to re-route, designate, develop, or expand trails. DNR may
- 68 reserve limited use easements on trails developed consistent with Department authorizations.
- 69 All new trails authorized by the Department will be reserved through public easements, and be developed
- 70 as sustainable trails. Sustainable trails are capable of handling the intended use without serious
- 71 environmental degradation. By following landscape contours, utilizing terrain features, and shedding
- water, sustainable trails require minimal maintenance over the long term.
- 73 The foundation of trail sustainability focuses on initial trail design to maximize the resilience of the trail to
- 74 use-related impacts, minimize resource degradation, and maximize user enjoyment. While initial
- 75 construction costs may be more for sustainable trails because the tread length is often longer to meet
- 76 controlled grade limits, reduced future maintenance costs should compensate for those initial
- investments. Integral to sustainability is a sound trail plan to meet user needs and desires within the trail
- 78 location environment. This planning is the core for any successful trail project.

Trail Management Plan Policy

80 Intent

79

86

96

- This Trail Management Plan is intended to be used for all classified trails in the Moose Range and JPUA.
- The process provides direction and design parameters for trail planning, construction, maintenance, and
- 83 condition assessment. Trail managers may implement the process following adoption of the Moose Range
- and JPUA Management Plan with the following benefits in mind:
- 1. Maintaining and enhancing opportunities for the recreating public.
 - 2. Manage use through proper planning, design, and construction of trails.
- 3. Ensure long-term savings in maintenance costs.
- 4. Demonstrate that DNR is committed to managing uses, and the associated impacts, so future generations can enjoy the resources of the of the Moose Range and JPUA.
- 90 Goals
- 91 The following goals will guide DNR in management of trail resources in the Moose Range and JPUA:
- 92 1. Establish Trail Management Objectives for individual trails and trail segments.
- 2. Implement a standardized trail classification system, including general criteria and design parameters.
- 95 3. Support the creation of sustainable trails.
 - 4. Develop an effective and efficient procedure for trail inventory and assessment.
- 5. Standardize trail terminology that is consistent with other public land management agencies throughout the state.
- 99 Process
- 100 The following provides the general process that DNR will follow in the classification and assessment of
- trails in the Moose Range and JPUA.

- 102 Trail Management Objectives
- 103 Trail Management Objectives (TMOs) are defined as the documentation of the intended purpose and
- management strategies of a trail based upon the management plan or management intent of an area.
- 105 TMOs document the Trail Class, Designed Use, Design Parameters, and other trail-specific considerations
- for both planned and existing trails. A trail may have different TMOs for sections of the trail that are or
- 107 will be managed differently. TMOs are very helpful in providing information for subsequent trail planning,
- management, and reporting. Each classified trail should have TMOs identified based upon the unit's
- 109 management or trail plan.
- 110 Trail Classification System
- 111 The Trail Classification System is intended to provide uniform principles for trail classification,
- maintenance, marking, design, and construction. The Trail Classification System adopted by DNR is a close
- adaptation of the National Trail Classification System being formally adopted by most federal land
- management agencies, and therefore will be a major step forward in applying consistent terminology and
- management guidance on trails in the Moose Range and JPUA. This system is based on identifying the
- 116 Type and Class of an existing or planned trail.
- Only two Trail Types are referenced in this process: Terra (Standard) Trails, and Water Trails. Each trail is
- 118 further separated into one of five Trail Classes, ranging from least developed (Trail Class 1) to most
- developed (Trail Class 5). General criteria are supplied to define Trail Classes applicable to all system trails.
- 120 Trail Classes are further refined through Trail Design Parameters that offer construction specifications by
- the type of Designed Use, such as hiker, bicycle, ATV, motorized and non-motorized boating and
- 122 snowmobile. Trail Design Parameters provide guidance for the assessment, survey and design,
- construction, repair, and maintenance of trails, based on the Trail Class and Designed Use of the trail.
- 124 Sustainable Trails
- 125 A Sustainable Trail is defined as a trail that conforms to its terrain and environment, can handle its
- intended use without serious degradation and requires minimal maintenance.
- 127 Trail "Sustainability" is a concept that is being discussed broadly within the national trails community.
- 128 Certain design concepts that are time-tested, sound, and simple, form the essential elements of
- sustainable design, while best management practices are currently being developed to guide overall trail
- management.
- 131 Sustainable trails are guided by trail management objectives (TMOs) and constructed to design
- parameters that support intended use without impact to the surrounding environment, which contributes
- to user enjoyment and protection of resources. Trail alignment and grades conform to the local terrain,
- while erosion is minimized, and the tread stabilized. Sustainable trails integrate well into the environment
- and do not negatively impact the ecological integrity of the environment.
- Develop a Means for Trail Inventory and Assessment
- 137 Before trail maintenance and repair strategies can be fully developed, an assessment of trails and their
- condition must be made, based on the TMOs identified for the trail. While TMOs provide a vision for future
- trail conditions, Trail Assessments offer an accurate snapshot of existing conditions and what is needed

- to meet Design Parameters identified by TMOs. The difference between TMOs and Trail Assessments will
- 141 help determine repair costs.
- 142 Trail inventories and assessments require that detailed data be collected for each trail. There are several
- data collection methods being used in Alaska, from simple pen and paper technologies to sophisticated
- GPS programs. Various methodologies should be reviewed during planned assessments and options will
- be considered based on their cost effectiveness and ease of use.
- 146 Trail Terminology
- 147 Terminology referenced in this process has been adopted from many sources including the U.S. Forest
- 148 Service, DNR, Division of Parks and Outdoor Recreation, and the Alaska Parks and Recreation Association.
- 149 Uniform terminology will also greatly benefit the application process for State Park's Recreational Trail
- 150 Grant program or other grant and funding sources.
- 151 Trail Classification System Criteria
- 152 The Trail Classification System used in this process is adopted from sources including the U.S. Forest
- 153 Service, and Bureau of Land Management, the Division of Parks and Outdoor Recreation. The five Trail
- 154 Classes range from least developed (Trail Class 1) to most developed (Trail Class 5):
- Trail Class 1: Minimal/Undeveloped Trail
- Trail Class 2: Simple/Minor Development Trail
- Trail Class 3: Developed/Improved Trail
- Trail Class 4: Highly Developed Trail
- Trail Class 5: Fully Developed Trail
- 160 Trail Classes are an inventory convention used to identify applicable Design Parameters. Trail Class
- descriptors reflect typical attributes of trails in each class. Trail-specific exceptions may occur for any Trail
- 162 Class descriptor, provided that the general intent of the corresponding Trail Class is retained. There is a
- 163 direct relationship between Trail Class and Managed Use: one cannot be determined without
- 164 consideration of the other. There can be only one Trail Class identified per trail or trail segment. The Trail
- 165 Class for each trail or trail segment will be based on applicable land management plan direction, trail-
- specific decisions, and other related direction. The appropriate Trail Class should be determined at the
- trail- specific level. Apply the Trail Class that most closely matches the trail's TMOs.
- 168 Trail prescriptions describe the desired management of each trail, based on management plan direction.
- 169 These prescriptions consider the protection of sensitive resources and other management guidelines
- and recommendations. To meet prescription, each trail is assigned an appropriate Trail Class (1-5).
- 171 These general categories are used to identify applicable Trail Design Parameters and basic indicators
- used to help determine construction and/or maintenance costs. These classes have been adapted from
- the U.S. Forest Service. The general criteria below define each Trail Class and are applicable to all
- system trails. Trail Class descriptions define "typical" attributes, and exceptions may occur for any
- 175 attribute.

176

Trail Attributes	Trail Class 1 Minimal/Undeveloped Trail	Trail Class 2 Simple/Minor Development Trail	Trail Class 3 Developed/Improved Trail	Trail Class 4 Highly Developed Trail	Trail Class 5 Fully Developed Trail
General Criteria Physical Characteristics to be Applied to all Designated Trails					
Tread & Traffic Flow	 Tread intermittent and often indistinct May require route finding Native materials only 	Tread discernible and continuous, but narrow and rough Few or no allowances constructed for passing Native materials	Tread obvious and continuous Width accommodates unhindered one-lane travel (occasional allowances constructed for passing) Typically native materials	Tread wide and relatively smooth with few irregularities Width may consistently accommodate two-lane travel Native or imported materials May be hardened	Width generally accommodates two-lane and two-directional travel, or provides frequent passing turnouts Commonly hardened with asphalt or other imported material
Obstacles	Obstacles common Narrow passages; brush, steep grades, rocks and logs present	Obstacles occasionally present Blockages cleared to define route and protect resources Vegetation may encroach into trailway	Obstacles infrequent Vegetation cleared outside of trailway	 Few or no obstacles exist Grades typically <12% Vegetation cleared outside of trailway 	No obstaclesGrades typically <8%
Constructed Features & Trail Elements	Minimal to non-existent Drainage is functional No constructed bridges or foot crossings	Structures are of limited size, scale, and number Drainage functional Structures adequate to protect trail infrastructure and resources Primitive crossings and fords	Trail structures (walls, steps, drainage, raised trail) may be common and substantial Trail bridges as needed for resource protection and appropriate access	Structures frequent and substantial Substantial trail bridges are appropriate at water crossings Trailside amenities may be present	Structures frequent or continuous; may include curbs, handrails, trailside amenities, and boardwalks Drainage structures frequent; may include culverts and road-like designs

3

4